UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/586,695	07/17/2008	Martin Koch	P06,0261	7851
26574 SCHIFF HARD	7590 03/30/201 DIN, LLP	EXAMINER		
PATENT DEPARTMENT			BRITTAIN, JAMES R	
233 S. Wacker Drive-Suite 6600 CHICAGO, IL 60606-6473			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3677	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/30/2011	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Astion Occurrence	10/586,695	KOCH ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	JAMES R. BRITTAIN	3677				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 19 Ju	ılv 2006					
<i>'</i>	, _					
·	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims						
<u> </u>						
4) Claim(s) 34-56 is/are pending in the application.						
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
<u> </u>	5) Claim(s) 34-48 is/are allowed.					
·	Claim(s) 49,51-54 and 56 is/are rejected.					
	7) Claim(s) 50 and 55 is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 July 2006</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
 Certified copies of the priority documents 	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Drafts person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) A) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date						
2) Notice of Drafts, erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)	5) Notice of Informal P					
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6)					
S Patent and Trademark Office						

DETAILED ACTION

Specification

The substitute specification received July 19, 2006 has been entered.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 49, 51-54 and 56 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Pilie et al. (US 4485534) in view of Meager (US 2002/0184740).

Pilie et al. (figures 1-3 and 5-12) teaches both the article and method for opening and closing two enclosures, one being a room and the other a garment, each with an opening and each with first and second coupling fasteners in the form of zippers with teeth 11, 12, 13, 14 and two sliders 40, 45. The zippers with teeth both act as closing elements for each enclosure and as docking elements for joining the enclosures. The difference is that though it is clear that the fasteners provide some sort of sealing function given the obvious shelter compartment and protective suit, the use of sealing strips isn't clearly used. However, Meager (figure 1) teaches sealing strips usable on "hazardous material suits" or "bags" [0071] that clearly provide a sealing function as it is obviously useful to have sealing closure strips on protective garments such as that of Pilie et al. Therefore, it would have been obvious to modify the device of Pilie et al. so that sealing strips are used on enclosures since sealing strips used on protective

Art Unit: 3677

garments and bags are well known as evidenced by Meager. As to claims 51-53 and 56, the structure in these claims is well known and extends from the teachings of Pilie et al. and Meager.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 34-48 are allowed.

Claims 50 and 55 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to JAMES R. BRITTAIN whose telephone number is (571)272-7065. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F 5:30-2:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Victor Batson can be reached on (571) 272-6987. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/586,695 Page 4

Art Unit: 3677

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/James R. Brittain/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3677

JRB